Youth Academy Charter 711 Tomlinson Street Grades **Enrollment Principal** Superintendent **Board Chair** ABSOLUTE RATING Excellent Good 0 0

Kingstree, South Carolina 29556

7-12 High School

21 Students

Cheryl West 843-355-5424

Ralph C. Fennell, Jr. 843-355-5571

Mrs. Barbara McKenzie 843-382-3980

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL

REPORT CARD

N/AV

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 0 0 2

IMPROVEMENT RATING

N/AV

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

I/S

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

> http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress				
2003	Unsatisfactory	N/A	N/A				
2004	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A				
2005	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	I/S				
2006	N/AV	N/AV	I/S				

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS									
		Our School		High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006			
Passed 2 subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	57.8	0.0	48.2			
Passed 1 subtest	N/A	22.2	N/A	15.4	22.2	19.5			
Passed no subtests	N/A	77.8	100.0	26.8	77.8	69.0			

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	N/A	84.3%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	N/A	1.1
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	N/A	1.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	N/A	19.7

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	N/A	87
Number of Diplomas	N/A	60
Rate	N/A	49.7%

END OF COURSE TESTS							
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	N/A	71.4					
English 1	N/A	48.2					
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	N/A	43.0					
Physical Science	N/A	22.7					
All Subjects	N/A	48.2					

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006			Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	
Gender								
Male	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Female	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
African American	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Full-pay meals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

			FRY	

HSAP PERFORMANCE BY GRO	UP								
	Enrollment 1st	% Tested	% Below Basiz	% Basic	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and	Performance Objective	Participation Objective Met
		/ guaga Art	1	1	/		20/	/ °	
All Students	nglish/Lan I 3	guage An	s - State I/S	Performa I/S	ince Object	I/S	.5% I/S	I/S	No
Gender	<u> </u>	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	1/5	INO
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S
Racial/Ethnic Group	0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African American	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	0	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	1/0	1/0
Not Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		1,70	1,70	1,70	1,70	1,70	1,70	1,70	.,,0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	., 0	14,7 (147
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S
, ,	// Mathemati	oo – Stote	•	ance Obje	otivo - 50	0.00/			
All Students	7 aunemau 3	cs – State	renomia I/S	ance Obje	I/S	J.0% I/S	I/S	I/S	No
Gender	3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	1/3	INO
Male	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Female	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	I/S
Racial/Ethnic Group	0	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	IN/A	1/3
White	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
African American	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	0	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	14/71	1/0	1/0
Not Disabled	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Disabled	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Migrant Status		1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	1,0	.,,0
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency		.,,,	.,,	.,,	.,,	.,,	.,,	. 4// 1	,, .
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Non-Limited English Proficient	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status								,.	,, .
Subsidized meals	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Full-pay meals	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S
1									

SCHOOL PROFILE

Median

High

	Our School	Change from Last Year	Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 21)				
Retention rate	0.0%	Down from 41.7%	8.0%	7.0%
Attendance rate	97.3%	No change	93.2%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%		0.0%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech		Up from 16.7%	39.1%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade	47.6%		19.7%	9.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	·	N/R	0.4%	1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	N/R		0.0%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams		N/A	N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship*	N/A	11. (0.00/	0.0%	10.2%
Annual dropout rate	21.0%	•	4.9%	2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	N/A	N/A	0.0%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	N/A		311	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	N/A	N/A	26.5%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	N/A	N/A	80.8%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	96.2%	99.1%
* Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem Teachers (n= 1)	ents.			
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	N/A N/AV	N/A	59.7% N/AV	55.5% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified	100.0%	N/A	26.7%	9.6%
teachers Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	N/A	N/A	10.9%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	79.7%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate	100.0%	N/R	95.0%	95.3%
Average teacher salary	I/S	I/S	\$42,254	\$42,943
Prof. development days/teacher	30.0 days	No change	9.8 days	11.2 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0		5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects		N/R	16.9 to 1	25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	97.3%		87.1%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Up 132300.0%	\$8,255	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	60.4%	N/A	55.1%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	60.4%		60.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No change	Good	Excellent
Parents attending conferences		N/R	99.0%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		No change	Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	N/R	N/R	Good	Good
, , add die reported		Ou	r District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high	• •	ers	N/A	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	nly qualified teach		22.7%	10.2%
Classes not tought by bighly avalified to the second	this sabsal	State Obje		ate Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in Student attendance in this school	uns school	0.0% 94.0%		No Yes
*or greater than last year		1 04.070	' I	. 00

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The mission of the Youth Academy Charter School is to provide a quality educational experience in a structured, supportive environment through the implementation and evaluation of specific students and programs to administer to the various needs of the student body. We implemented Character Education as a model tool for our student body.

We believe that every student will achieve success; discipline and respect are the driving forces of education at the Youth Academy. A safe environment is conducive to learning; we strive every day to maintain a safe environment for students.

Performance is measured in the areas of academic preparedness, life skills competencies, vocational readiness, and behavioral management skills for special needs students enrolled from the surrounding Williamsburg County School District. The school environment and philosophy will place a unique holistic emphasis on working with the entire family to improve the overall living environment of each student.

Our main objective is to ensure that no child will be left behind regardless of learning style.

Anissa J. Capers, Principal

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS			
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*
Number of surveys returned	1	18	4
Percent satisfied with learning environment	I/S	83.3%	I/S
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	I/S	83.3%	I/S
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	I/S	83.3%	I/S

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.